

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

390 2542 EXAMINER	
EXAMINER	
EXAMINER	
VIG, NARESH	
NIT PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/903,137	TOBIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Naresh Vig	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2006. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 and 49-51 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examines 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	r. election requirement.	-vominor	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to by the Explanation is objected.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/903,137

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

This is in reference to response received 26 May 2006. Cancellation of claims 25-48 and addition of new claims 49-51 is acknowledged. Claims 1-24 and 49-51 are pending for examination.

Applicant has not amended previously submitted pending claims 1-24.

Upon review of newly added claims 49 which claims dependency on claim 1, claim 50 which claims dependency on claim 11, and, claim 51 which claims dependency on claim 18, the newly added claims when written in independent form are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Claims originally presented claimed the limitation "providing a particular credit to the user based upon receipt of the identifier, wherein the particular credit is usable for receiving the particular content through the electronic content distribution system". Newly submitted pending claims recite a new limitation, "particular credit is representative of a discount applied to the purchase of the particular content". This clearly demonstrates that the user has made a purchase and the discount is applied to the purchase made by the user.

Also, in the disclosure originally filed 11 July 2001, applicant recites "The content distribution management module 314 and/or the content player application (224, FIG. 2) are configured to recognize a particular compact disc (or other optical storage medium, such as a DVD) and provide a credit usable towards usage rights for related content" [0028]. In addition, "credit" is a

Application/Control Number: 09/903,137

Art Unit: 3629

deduction of payment, whereas, "discount" is to sell or offer for sale at a reduced price. In the originally presented case upon which and office action was issued, applicant had not positively claimed a user to make a purchase for which a discount will be applied.

Therefore the newly submitted pending claims are distinct and divergent from the earlier claimed inventions and would require a new, divergent and different search. Such change in the inventions amount to a "Shift claiming another invention after an action given on the claimed subject matter and are therefore subject to "Election by Original Presentation". as analyzed above.

Kindly refer to the following MPEP excerpts for guidelines:

819 Office Generally Does Not Permit Shift:

The general policy of the Office is not to permit the applicant to shift to claiming another invention after an election is once made and action given on the elected subject matter. Note that the applicant cannot, as a matter of right, file a request for continued examination (RCE) to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined (i.e., applicant cannot switch inventions by way of an RCE as a matter of right). When claims are presented which the examiner holds are drawn to an invention other than the one elected, he or she should treat the claims as outlined in MPEP § 821.03. Where the inventions are distinct and of such a nature that the Office compels restriction, an election is not waived even though the examiner gives action upon the patentability of the claims to the nonelected invention. Expane Loewenbach, 1904 C.D. 170, 110 O.G. 857 (Comm'r Pat. 1904) and In re Waugh, 135 F.2d 627, 57 USPQ 371 (CCPA 1943).

821.03 Claims for Different Invention Added After an Office Action

Claims added by amendment following action by the examiner, MPEP § 818.01, § 818.02(a), to an invention other than previously claimed, should be treated as indicated by 37 CFR 1.145.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,137

Art Unit: 3629

37 CFR 1.145 Subsequent presentation of claims for different invention.

If, after an office action on an application, the applicant presents claims directed to an invention distinct from and independent of the invention previously claimed, the applicant will be required to restrict the claims to the invention previously claimed if the amendment is entered, subject to reconsideration and review as provided in §§ 1.143 and 1.144

Therefore, newly submitted claims are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the reasons given above.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, pending claims are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

The amendment presenting only claims drawn to a nonelected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The currently newly added pending claims are not readable on the elected invention because they are subject to " Election by Original Presentation". as analyzed above.

Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be a bona fide attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS**OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naresh Vig whose telephone number is (571) 272-6810. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 6:00 (Wednesday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Naresh Vig Examiner Art Unit 3629

A aresh Vig